Operational flood forecasting linked to different decision-making contexts

Steven Cole (and many others)

CEEDS Seminar: Decision-making under uncertainty 24 February 2021



scole@ceh.ac.uk

Motivation

- Typical questions a stakeholder might have to answer
 - Will it rain tomorrow? Will it flood?
 - How will storms and floods change in 50 years?
 - What does this mean for me? For my organisation?
- Need to acknowledge and account for uncertainty through risk-based approaches
 - Combine uncertainty and impact
 - Use a Risk Matrix
 - Make better, more informed, decisions





Flood forecasting model chain



Forecast uncertainty – use of ensembles

- What will the weather be like in the future?
 - We have complex mathematic models but they are uncertain
 - Capture this uncertainty using ensembles of forecasts



Grid-to-Grid (G2G) Hydrological Model



- G2G used operationally across Britain at a 1km, 15 min resolution
- Uses spatial datasets on terrain, soil/geology, land-cover
- Responds to spatial variation of rainfall input
- Probabilistic forecasts inform Flood Guidance Statements

Moore et al., IAHS Publ. 305 (2006)

Price *et al.;* Cranston & Tavendale, Water Management (2012)

Rapid Response Catchments

- Rapid Response Catchments are typically small & ungauged
- Challenge to develop forecast/warning capability
- Needs rainfall forecast ensembles (~2km, 24h, 12 members)
- Case study experience (6-7 July 2012)

Circles denote gauging stations

- Solid outline: area <50km²
- Observed flow exceeds threshold during forecast

Percentage of ensembles that exceeded the Q(T) threshold at some point during forecast





Met Office









- Generally accepted that probabilistic rainfall and flood forecasts are needed BUT...
 - How well do forecasts perform? (ensemble verification)
 - How to assess? Metrics, robustness, thresholds,...



- Generally accepted that probabilistic rainfall and flood forecasts are needed BUT...
 - How well do forecasts perform? (ensemble verification)
 - How to assess? Metrics, robustness, thresholds,...
- Key is to be stakeholder and user focused:
 - Flood-producing events of interest.
 - What does this mean for *today's* forecast?
- UKCEH/Met Office project for Flood Forecasting Centre, Scottish Flood Forecasting Service, EA, SEPA

Anderson et al., JoH, (2019)

HEPEX blog: https://hepex.inrae.fr/verification-detail-matters/





Colours give probability of threshold crossing

Red:16 to 24 of membersOrange:8 to 16 of membersGreen:1 to 8 of members

Symbols give suggested tendency from verification

- \triangle Upwards triangle:
- ∇ Downwards triangle:
- □ Square:
 - Diamond:

possible underestimation possible overestimation no suggested trend not enough data for a trend

What does this mean for today's forecast?



Colours give probability of threshold crossing

Red:16 to 24 of membersOrange:8 to 16 of membersGreen:1 to 8 of members

Symbols give suggested tendency from verification

- Δ Upwards triangle:
- ∇ Downwards triangle:
- □ Square:
 - Diamond:

possible underestimation possible overestimation no suggested trend not enough data for a trend

Impact-based Forecasting (IbF)

- Many operational weather and flood forecasting centres are moving to Impact-based Forecasting and Warning
- Supported and encouraged by World Meteorological Organisation (WMO)
- Commonly uses a Risk Matrix approach that combines uncertainty *and* impacts





Impact-based Forecasting (IbF)

- Surface Water Flooding Hazard Impact Model (SWF HIM) developed by the Natural Hazards Partnership
- Builds on existing models & tools
- Operated by Flood Forecasting Centre over England & Wales



Impact Library and Visualisation

- Impact Library developed offline and accessed in real-time
- Four categories of disruption (impact criteria)
- Uses a Risk Matrix approach





 Operational since April 2020

> Cole et al., Aldridge et al., FLOODrisk2016 www.naturalhazardspartnership.org.uk